$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{array} $	WALTER J. LACK, State Bar No. 57550 MARK E. MILLARD, State Bar No. 175169 ENGSTROM, LIPSCOMB & LACK A Professional Corporation 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 16th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4107 Telephone: (310) 552-3800	FE 25 Pil 1: 35 OKTHEEN DISTRICT COURT OKTHEEN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	THOMAS V. GIRARDI, State Bar No. 36603 CARRIE ROGNLIEN, State Bar No. 170066 THOMAS JOHNSTON, State Bar No. 210506 GIRARDI & KEESE 1126 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 977-0211 EDWARD L. MASRY, State Bar No. 31016 NANCY SEIDLER EICHLER, State Bar No. 75017 LAW OFFICES OF MASRY & VITITOE 5707 Corsa Avenue, 2nd Floor	MAR X 1 2005 RICHARUS W. MISMING OLEHRUS DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12 13 14 15 16	Westlake Village, California 91362 Telephone: (818) 991-8900 WILLIAM M. SIMPICH, State Bar No. 106672 TESFAYE W. TSADIK, State Bar No. 108103 1736 Franklin, Tenth Floor Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 444-0226 Telephone: (510) 839-3922 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Submitting Counsel are directed to serve this order upon all other parties in this action	
17 18 19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20 21 22 23 24	DONNA M. AVILA, et al., Plaintiffs, v. WILLITS ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION TRUST, et al., Defendants.	CASE NO. C-99-3941 SI) (c/w CASE NO:.C-01-0266 SI) -[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. FOUR (Honorable Susan Illston)	
25 26 27 28))	778	
	CASE NO. C-99-3941 SI [c/v Proposed Case Manage @PFDesktop\::ODMA/PCDOCS/ELLHMDM/242796/1	-	

• •

H

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(c)(12) specifically authorizes the Court to adopt "special
 procedures for managing potentially difficult or protracted actions that may involve complex issues,
 multiple parties, difficult legal questions or unusual proof problems." Pursuant to Rule 16(c)(12),
 the Court finds that entry of Case Management Order Number Four is necessary for the just and
 efficient resolution of this lawsuit.

6 Case Management Order Number Four addresses (a) the Court's December 9, 2004 Order 7 regarding exposure and medical causation and (d) additional motions. Any discovery which is not 8 provided for in a case management order approved by the Court, as modified or amended by 9 subsequent case management orders, is stayed while the parties conduct the discovery specified 10 therein, and will require either a stipulation between the parties (which shall not be unreasonably denied if the discovery sought is in furtherance of an approved case management order, and is not 11 unduly burdensome), or a Court Order to permit the discovery to go forward. The parties anticipate 12 13 that additional case management orders will be issued by the Court to address the timing and scope 14 of additional discovery, depositions, expert discovery, trial preparations, and trial, as appropriate.

15

16

I. ORDER REGARDING EXPOSURE AND MEDICAL CAUSATION

- 17
- 18

A. Prima Facie Showing of Exposure And Medical Causation.

One purpose of Case Management Order Number Four is to avoid unnecessary delay and
expense and the needless expenditure of judicial resources by establishing an orderly process for pretrial proceedings. This Court's December 9, 2004 Order Granting Defendants' Request for *Prima Facie* Showing, requires those 51 plaintiffs who never lived in Willits and those 86 Plaintiffs who
only lived in Willits after December 31, 1988 "to make a *prima facie* showing that such plaintiffs
were exposed to hazardous substances released by the predecessor of either defendants Whitman or
Pneumo Abex, and that such exposure caused their injury."

26 ///

27 ///

28

The showing required shall be as follows:

1

2 1. Personal Injury Claims 3 Showing Re *Plaintiff Exposure:* Each of the non-resident Plaintiffs and post-1988 4 Plaintiffs (as listed on Exhibits A and B, respectively), shall serve upon Defendants and file with the 5 Court on or before April 18, 2005, a written statement, executed under penalty of perjury setting 6 forth all facts supporting such Plaintiff's claimed exposure to hazardous substances discharged or 7 released by the predecessors of either Whitman or Pneumo Abex. Each such affidavit shall include: 8 The identity of each chemical substance to which the Plaintiff was a. 9 exposed from the predecessors of either Whitman or Pneumo Abex; 10 b. The dates or date-limited time periods, including days of the week and times during the day, during which such Plaintiff was exposed to 11 hazardous substances discharged or released by the predecessors of 12 either Whitman or Pneumo Abex; 13 The duration of exposure during the time periods during which such 14 c. 15 Plaintiff claims exposure to hazardous substances discharged or released by the predecessors of either Whitman or Pneumo Abex; 16 The location(s) of such exposures during each of the identified time 17 d. 18 periods; 19 The route of exposure whether by inhalation, ingestion, dermal or e. 20 otherwise: f. The injuries caused by said exposure; and 21 The documents and witnesses to support each of the foregoing facts. 22 g. For any Plaintiff claiming injury prior to birth through the exposure of such Plaintiff's 23 mother ("in utero exposure"), the foregoing information shall be provided regarding the person 24 through which such Plaintiff claims exposure. 25 26 111 27 111 28 3 CASE NO. C-99-3941 SI [c/w Case No. C-01-0266 SI] Proposed Case Management Order No. 4

@PFDesktop\::ODMA/PCDOCS/ELLHMDM/242796/1

1	1 <i>Expert's Affidavit</i> : Each non-resident Plaintiff and post-1988 Plaintiff shall	serve upon	
2	Defendants and file with the Court a written statement on or before April 18, 2005, from a		
3	3 physician, medical and/or other expert(s) stating the following:		
4	4 a. A statement of his or her qualification;		
5	5 b. A description of each injury, illness, or condition for	which such	
6	6 Plaintiff seeks recovery in this action;		
7	7 c. The identity of the chemical to which such Plaintiff w	as exposed;	
8	8 d. A statement as to the route of exposure whether by in	halation,	
9	9 ingestion, dermal or otherwise;		
10	10 e. A statement that there is a reasonable medical probab	ility that the	
11	11 exposure caused each injury, illness or condition refe	rred to above. ¹	
12	12 f. A statement of the scientific and medical basis upon v	which the experts	
13	13 opinion is based.		
14	14 2. <u>Wrongful Death Claims</u>		
15	Expert's Affidavit: Each Plaintiff claiming the wrongful death of a decedent who never lived		
16	in Willits or only lived in Willits after December 1988 shall serve upon Defendants and file with the		
17	Court on or before April 18, 2005, a written statement from a physician, medical and/or other		
18	18 expert(s), regarding the decedent, as directed above.		
19	19 B. <u>Responsive Defense Affidavits</u>		
20	The parties shall meet and confer on or before May 2, 2005 as to whether Defendants will		
21	21 present expert affidavits to respond to Plaintiffs' affidavits and/or in a dispositive n	notion seeking	
22	dismissal of these enumerated Plaintiffs' injury claims.		
23	If Defendants intend to present expert affidavits in response to Plaintiffs' affidavits and/or in		
24	a dispositive motion seeking dismissal of these enumerated Plaintiffs' injury claims, Defendants		
25	25		
26		and addressed by	
27			
28			
	4		
	CASE NO. C-99-3941 SI [c/w Case No. C-01-0266 SI] Proposed Case Management Order No. 4		

.

shall serve Plaintiffs and file with the Court such responsive affidavits from qualified experts which
 responds on a point by point basis to each of the Plaintiffs submitted affidavits on or before May 31,
 2005.

C. <u>Schedule</u>

5 Plaintiffs' counsel shall serve Defendants and file with the Court the completed affidavits
6 required above by April 18, 2005.

7 The parties shall meet and confer as to whether Defendants will present expert affidavits to
8 respond to Plaintiffs' affidavits and/or in a dispositive motion seeking dismissal of these enumerated
9 Plaintiffs' injury claims by May 2, 2005.

Defendants shall serve Plaintiffs and file with the Court the expert affidavits, if any, by May31, 2005.

12

4

D. <u>Further Proceedings</u>

13 Following the submission of the completed affidavits required above, the parties may engage in expert discovery and/or further proceedings as appropriate, including depositions of Defendants 14 15 and/or Plaintiffs' experts, additional causation discovery, briefing, foundational or other challenges to expert opinions, and submission of rebuttal expert opinions. Thereafter, the Court (or its duly 16 17 appointed referee) shall hold a hearing to determine whether each non-resident Plaintiff and post-1988 Plaintiff has demonstrated a prima facie showing sufficient to show Plaintiffs exposure to 18 Defendants chemicals was a substantial factor in causing or contributing to plaintiffs injuries or the 19 risk of cancer and other diseases. 20

21

22 II. MOTIONS

Pursuant to this Case Management Order, the parties are permitted to file motions, including
but not limited to summary judgment and/or summary adjudication motions, in accordance with the
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

26 ///

27 ///

28

CASE NO. C-99-3941 SI [c/w Case No. C-01-0266 SI] Proposed Case Management Order No. 4

1	III. <u>AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION OF CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER</u>		
2	This Order, including any of the dates or deadlines specified herein, may itself be amended,		
3	supplemented or superseded by subsequent case management orders. Such amendments,		
4	supplemental or superseding orders may be obtained either by stipulation of the parties with Court		
5	approval, or upon the motion of any party for good cause shown. Any such motion shall be made by		
6	letter brief to the Court pursuant to the standard procedures in this case.		
7			
8	IV. FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		
9	The next Case Management Conference is set for June 10, 2005.		
10			
11	Dated: February 24, 2005 Respectfully submitted,		
12	ENGSTROM LIPSCOMB & LACK		
13			
14	By Mark E. Millard		
15	Lead Trial Counsel for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
16	APPROVED AS TO FORM,		
17	LATHAM & WATKINS		
18	Λ ρ		
19	By tim N. Try		
20	Dana N. Linker Lead Trial Counsel for Defendants		
21	Attorneys for Defendants IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	\overline{Q}		
	DATED: $\underline{\mathcal{I}}$, 2005		
24	Honorable Susan Illston UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
25			
26			
27 28			
20	6		
	CASE NO. C-99-3941 SI [c/w Case No. C-01-0266 SI]		
	Proposed Case Management Order No. 4 @PFDesktop\::ODMA/PCDOCS/ELLHMDM/242796/1		

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is Latham & Watkins LLP, 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1900, San Francisco, CA 94111-2562.

On February 25, 2005, I served the following document described as:

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. FOUR

by serving a true copy of the above-described document in the following manner:

BY U.S. MAIL

I am familiar with the office practice of Latham & Watkins LLP for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, documents are deposited with the Latham & Watkins LLP personnel responsible for depositing documents with the United States Postal Service; such documents are delivered to the United States Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business, with postage thereon fully prepaid. I deposited in Latham & Watkins LLPs' interoffice mail a sealed envelope or package containing the above-described document and addressed as set forth below in accordance with the office practice of Latham & Watkins LLP for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of, or permitted to practice before, this Court at whose direction the service was made and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 25, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

Service List

BY U.S. MAIL

Nancy Seidler Eichler, Esq. Masry & Vititoe 5707 Corsa Avenue, 2nd floor Westlake Village, CA 91367 Telephone: (818) 991-8900 Facsimile: (818) 991-6200

Mark E. Millard, Esq. Engstrom Lipscomb & Lack 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-4107 Telephone: (310) 552-3800 Facsimile: (310) 552-9434

Tesfaye W. Tsadik, Esq. Law Offices of Tesfaye W, Tsadik 1736 Franklin Street, Tenth Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 839-3922 Facsimile: (510) 444-1704

Barbara Guibord, Esq. Douglas Michaud, Esq. Fognani Guibord Homsy & Roberts 20 N. Clark Street – 32nd Floor Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: (312) 596-7777 Facsimile: (312) 596-7788 Thomas Johnston, Esq. Girardi & Keese 1126 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90017-1904 Telephone: (213) 977-0211 Facsimile: (213) 481-1554

William M. Simpich, Esq. Attorney at Law 1736 Franklin Street, Tenth Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 444-0226 Facsimile (510) 444-1704

Philip C. Hunsucker, Esq. RESOLUTION LAW GROUP, P.C. 3717 Mt. Diablo Boulevard, Suite 200 Lafayette, CA 94549 Telephone: (925) 299-5104 Facsimile: (925) 284-0870